EDITORIAL

THE NEURODEVELOPMENTAL SIEGE: WHY CLIMATE AND CONFLICT ARE NOW CORE PEDIATRIC CONCERNS:

The developing brain is an exquisitely sensitive instrument, calibrated by the architecture of its environment. Today, two profound environmental forces are converging at an unprecedented scale: a destabilizing climate and the surge of protracted armed conflict. For the 2.4 billion children under 18 globally, these are not abstract policy debates or distant environmental concerns; they are daily, visceral exposures that dictate the maturation of neural architecture, the trajectory of cognitive development, and the foundation of lifelong mental health. As pediatricians and developmental scientists, we must name this "dual exposure" for what it is: the primary threat to neurodevelopment in the 21st century.

Climate volatility has transitioned from a seasonal nuisance to a chronic developmental insult. Recent data from a 2025 Lancet Planetary Health* cohort study of 12,000 children across South Asia demonstrated that each 1deg C rise above local norms during the first 1,000 days correlates with a 0.3SD reduction in executive function at age five. This is driven by a cascade of factors—sleep fragmentation, restricted outdoor play, and maternal heat stress. In India, where 536 heatwave days were recorded in 2023, classrooms frequently exceed 40 degree C, a threshold empirically linked to a 4–6% decline in real-time working memory performance. Compounding this, particulate matter (PM2.5)—which crosses both the placenta and the blood-brain barrier—is a pervasive neurotoxicant. The 2024 ECHO consortium meta-analysis of 35 cohorts linked prenatal exposure of >15mcg/mm to a 1.9-point deficit in IQ and a 23% higher risk of ADHD. In urban centers like Delhi and Lucknow, where annual means exceed 90microg/mm, the resulting inflammatory and oxidative stress disrupts the critical processes of synaptic pruning and myelination.

While climate change acts as a background toxin, armed conflict introduces acute neurobiological trauma. Recent MRI data from the 2023–2026 Gaza and Ukraine cohorts provide sobering evidence: a 2025 study in Nature Neuroscience* documented 8–11% reductions in hippocampal volume among children exposed to over six months of siege conditions. Given that the hippocampus is central to learning and stress regulation, such atrophy is a clinical predictor of adult depressive and post-traumatic stress disorders. Furthermore, we are seeing the emergence of transgenerational biological consequences. A 2024 Science Advances* study of Syrian refugee dyads in Jordan found that maternal trauma induced stable methylation changes in genes such as NR3C1* and FKBP5*—changes that persisted in children conceived after the mother's displacement, suggesting that conflict leaves a lasting biological echo across generations.

These forces are not parallel; they are synergistic. As the UN, IPCC, and global defense agencies have established, climate change serves as a "threat multiplier." Water scarcity, crop failure, and sea-level rise displace families into fragile urban peripheries where cycles of violence and trauma concentrate. The developing brain cannot partition these stressors; it encodes them as cumulative, systemic adversity. Indeed, a 2026 ICMR multi-site Indian study found that children subjected to both high PM2.5 and displacement displayed 2.1 times the rates of emotional dysregulation compared to those with single exposures.

This reality necessitates a fundamental shift in our clinical mandate. We must begin by expanding our diagnostic lens; the standard Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) questionnaire is insufficient for the challenges of 2026. We must integrate metrics of environmental adversity—such as localized heat indices, proximity to conflict, and displacement history—into routine developmental surveillance.

Furthermore, we must move toward the systematic training of pediatricians in brief, trauma-informed interventions for toxic stress, utilizing frameworks such as the updated WHO *mhGAP-IG 3.0*. We must also advocate for environmental resilience in our communities, applying evidence-based mitigation like cooling centers in anganwadis* and indoor air filtration in schools—simple interventions that a Kolkata trial showed could reduce heat-related infant distress by 40%.

Critically, we must address the research inequity that currently hampers our progress. It is untenable that 92% of neurodevelopmental climate research originates in high-income nations while 98% of the most exposed children live in Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs). Indian cohorts must lead this research, ensuring that data reflects the lived reality of our own population. Finally, we must recognize that development is health policy. Every ton of CO2 mitigated and every de-escalated border dispute functions as a high-impact pediatric intervention.

The Indian child born today will navigate a future of 2.6degC} warming and a global landscape defined by chronic conflict. The question is no longer if* these factors affect neurodevelopment; the mechanistic evidence is longitudinal, replicated, and undeniable. The question is whether our field will embrace this as core clinical territory or relegate it to "someone else's problem." Our patients do not have the luxury of waiting for the resolution of geopolitical instability or the achievement of global carbon neutrality. Their synapses are wiring now*. As developmental and behavioral pediatricians, we must evolve into climate and conflict clinicians—documenting, mitigating, and advocating—because the blueprint of the adult brain is being drawn in this childhood, and that blueprint is currently under siege.

Best Regards

Dr. Zafar Mahmood Meenai

FRCPCH(UK), MSc paediatric Neurodisability (UK)

Editor-in-Chief, IJDBP